
Reducing the number of variables of a polynomial

Enrico Carlini

Politecnico di Torino, Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, 10129 Torino, Italia
 enrico.carlini@polito.it

1 Abstract

In this paper, we consider two basic questions about presenting a homogeneous polynomial f : how many variables are needed for presenting f ? How can one find a presentation of f involving as few variables as possible? We give a complete answer to both questions, determining the minimal number of variables needed, $N_{\text{ess}}(f)$, and describing these variables through their linear span, $\text{EssVar}(f)$. Our results give rise to effective algorithms which we implemented in the computer algebra system **CoCoA** [CoC04].

2 Introduction

Polynomials, also seen as symmetric tensors, are ubiquitous in Applied Mathematics. They appear in Mechanics ([MQ02]), Signal and Image Processing ([CM96]), Algebraic Complexity Theory ([BCS97]), Coding and Information Theory ([Rom92]), etc..

One of the main open issue is to manipulate polynomials in order to obtain presentations suiting the special needs of the application at hand.

In Mechanics, it is often useful to *separate variables*. Given a polynomial $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, one splits the set of variables in two pieces, e.g. $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ and $\{x_{r+1}, \dots, x_n\}$, and a presentation of f is searched of the following type

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = g(x_1, \dots, x_r) + h(x_{r+1}, \dots, x_n)$$

for some polynomials g and h .

Separating variables is a well established technique and the search for splitting methods in general is very active (see [MQ02]).

In Signal Processing, homogeneous polynomials (also known as *quantics* from ancient Invariant Theory) are of crucial importance. The main interest is

in the so called *sum of powers presentations*, where a homogeneous polynomial f of degree d is presented as

$$f = l_1^d + \dots + l_s^d$$

where $l_1, \dots, l_s$ are linear forms.

Sum of powers presentations are treated in connection with quantics in [CM96], while a more general approach relating them to Polynomial Interpolation and Waring Problem can be found in [Cil01].

In this paper, we consider two basic questions about presenting a homogeneous polynomial (from now on referred to as a *form*) in a “easier” way. Given a form f , how many variables are needed for presenting it? How can one find a presentation of f involving as few variables as possible?

Even if these problems are so natural, we are not aware of a complete solution existing in the literature. In this paper, we give a complete answer to both questions. Our results give rise to effective algorithms which we implemented in the computer algebra system **CoCoA** (freely available at cocoa.dima.unige.it).

More precisely, given a form $f \in S = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, k any field, we call *essential number of variables of f* the smallest integer r for which there exists a set of linear forms $\{y_1, \dots, y_r\} \subset S$ such that

$$f \in k[y_1, \dots, y_r];$$

the linear forms $y_1, \dots, y_r$ are called *essential variables of f* . Then our main result is (see Definition 1, Definition 2 and Section 3 for the notation involved):

Proposition 1 *Let f be a homogeneous element in $S = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $T = k[\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n]$ denote the ring of differential operators. Then*

$$N_{ess}(f) = rk(\mathcal{C}_f),$$

i.e. the number of essential variables of f is the rank of its first catalecticant matrix, and

$$EssVar(f) = \langle D \circ f : D \in T_{d-1} \rangle,$$

i.e. the essential variables of f span the space of its $(d-1)^{th}$ partial derivatives.

In Section 3, we briefly recall some facts from Apolarity Theory which are the main tools of our analysis.

In Section 4, we use Apolarity and Catalecticant Matrices to obtain our main result. In Subsection 4.1, we give some examples of the use of our algorithms.

Remark 1. In this paper we work with forms, i.e. homogeneous polynomials. To apply our results to *any* polynomial f , it is enough to work with its homogenization f^h with respect to a new variable. Clearly, e.g., a presentation of f^h in essential variables readily produces a presentation of f in essential variables: it is enough to dehomogenize.

Remark 2. Throughout the paper k will denote a field of characteristic 0. Our results also hold in positive characteristic, but more advanced techniques are required for proving them, e.g. differentiations have to be replaced with contractions and divided powers have to be introduced (see [IK99]).

The author wishes to thank B. Reznick and C. Ciliberto for their ideas on the problem. The **CoCoA** Team in Genoa, and especially Anna Bigatti, were of great help in the implementation of the algorithms. The comments and criticisms of the two anonymous referees were of help in improving the presentation of the results.

3 Apolarity

In this section we will briefly recall some basic facts from *Apolarity Theory* or, in modern terms, *Inverse Systems Theory*. Comprehensive references are [Ger96], mainly Lecture 2, 6 and 8, and [IK99].

Consider the polynomial rings

$$S = k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \text{ and } T = k[\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n],$$

where k denotes a field of characteristic 0, and give S a T -module structure via differentiation, i.e. we will think of T as the ring of differential operators acting on S . We denote this action with “ $\circ$ ”, e.g. $\partial_j \circ f = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} f$ for $f \in S$.

There is a natural perfect pairing between homogeneous pieces of the same degree of S and T , namely

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_i \times T_i & \longrightarrow & k \\ f & D & D \circ f \end{array}$$

is a perfect pairing for all i ; in particular, S_i and T_i are dual to each other. Given subspaces,

$$V \subseteq S_i \text{ and } W \subseteq T_i$$

we denote by

$$V^\perp \subseteq T_i \text{ and } W^\perp \subseteq S_i$$

their orthogonal with respect to this pairing; notice, e.g., that $\dim_k V + \dim_k V^\perp = \dim_k S_i = \dim_k T_i$.

Given a form $f \in S_d$, the ideal

$$f^\perp = \{D \in T : D \circ f = 0\}$$

is a homogeneous ideal of T and it is called the *orthogonal* ideal of f .

Orthogonal ideals play a central role in the theory: they contain all the differential operators annihilating a given form and even more information, as it is shown by the following Lemma (for a proof see [Ger96], Proposition 8.10).

Lemma 1. *Let f be a degree d form in S , then $D \in T_i, i < d$, is such that*

$$D \circ f = 0$$

if and only if

$$D \circ (D' \circ f) = 0$$

for all $D' \in T_{d-i}$. In other terms, for $0 < i < d$, $(f^\perp)_i$ is orthogonal to the k -vector space spanned by the $(d-i)^{\text{th}}$ partial derivatives of f .

Orthogonal ideals can be easily described introducing *ad hoc* matrices. In this paper it will be enough to describe the degree one part of a given orthogonal ideal, but similar descriptions exist in each degree.

Definition 1. *Let $f \in S_d$ and fix the standard monomial basis, e.g. with respect to lex order, $\{M_1, \dots, M_N\}$ of the k -vector space S_{d-1} . For $i = 1, \dots, n$, consider the first partials*

$$\partial_i \circ f = c_{i1}M_1 + \dots + c_{iN}M_N.$$

The first catalecticant matrix of f is

$$(\mathcal{C}_f)_{ij} = c_{ij},$$

$$i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, N.$$

For a general treatment of catalecticant matrices and their applications see [Ger99], [Ger96] and [IK99].

Example 1. Let $f = x_1x_2x_3 \in k[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ and consider the monomial basis

$$\{x_1^2, x_1x_2, x_1x_3, x_2^2, x_2x_3, x_3^2\}$$

of the space of degree two forms. Then

$$\mathcal{C}_f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Catalecticant matrices determine the degree one part of orthogonal ideals readily:

Lemma 2. *Let $f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a form, then*

$$(a_1\partial_1 + \dots + a_n\partial_n) \circ f = 0$$

if and only if the vector $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is in the left kernel of $\mathcal{C}_f$. In particular, $\dim_k(f^\perp)_1 = n - \text{rk}(\mathcal{C}_f)$.

Proof. The statement simply follows writing down the action of $a_1\partial_1 + \dots + a_n\partial_n$ on f componentwise and considering the corresponding linear system of equations.

Remark 3. Let $l \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a linear form and consider its d -th power $f = l^d$. Let L be a linear differential operator and notice that $L \circ f = 0$ if and only if $L \circ l = 0$ which is a linear equation in the coefficients of L . Hence $\text{rk}(\mathcal{C}_{l^d}) = 1$ (actually, even the converse is true). In particular, this means that the form of Example 1 is not a pure power.

4 How many variables?

In this section we will use apolarity to answer our two basic questions: how many variables do we need to present a given form? How can we find a presentation involving as few variables as possible?

In what follows, we will work with the polynomial ring $S = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, where k is *any* field such that $\text{char}(k) = 0$ (in positive characteristic similar results hold, but, in this paper, we decided to avoid the technical difficulties involved).

Lets introduce some definitions:

Definition 2. Given a form f in S , the number of essential variables of f , $N_{\text{ess}}(f)$, is the smallest integer r such that there exist linear forms $y_1, \dots, y_r \in S$ for which $f \in k[y_1, \dots, y_r]$. We call essential variables of f any set of generators of the k -vector space $\text{EssVar}(f) = \langle y_1, \dots, y_r \rangle$.

Roughly speaking, given a form $f \in S$, $N_{\text{ess}}(f)$ tells us how many variables are necessary for presenting f , while $\text{EssVar}(f)$ tells us how we can find such variables. In particular, it is clear that, if

$$N_{\text{ess}}(f) = r \text{ and } \text{EssVar}(f) = \langle y_1, \dots, y_r \rangle,$$

then there exists $g \in k[y_1, \dots, y_r] \subset S$ such that $f = g$.

Example 2. Consider the form $f = f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x_1 + x_2)(x_1 - x_3)^2$ in $k[x_1, x_2, x_3]$. Clearly f is an element of the subring $k[y_1, y_2]$, where $y_1 = x_1 + x_2$ and $y_2 = x_1 - x_3$. Hence $N_{\text{ess}}(f) \leq 2$ and equality holds by Remark 3, as $\text{rk}(\mathcal{C}_f) \neq 1$ and f is not a pure power. Also, notice that $\text{EssVar}(f) = \langle x_1 + x_2, x_1 - x_3 \rangle$ and sets of possible essential variables are: $\{x_1 + x_2, x_1 - x_3\}$, $\{x_2 + x_3, 2x_1 + x_2 - x_3\}$, etc..

Using apolarity we can effectively determine N_{ess} and EssVar for a given form:

Proposition 1. Let f be a homogeneous element in $S = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $T = k[\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n]$ denote the ring of differential operators. Then

$$N_{\text{ess}}(f) = \text{rk}(\mathcal{C}_f),$$

i.e. the number of essential variables of f is the rank of its first catalecticant matrix, and

$$\text{EssVar}(f) = \langle D \circ f : D \in T_{d-1} \rangle,$$

i.e. the essential variables of f span the space of its $(d-1)^{\text{th}}$ partial derivatives.

Proof. If $N_{\text{ess}}(f) = r$, then $f \in k[y_1, \dots, y_r]$ for some linear forms $y_1, \dots, y_r$ in S . Let

$$\langle y_1, \dots, y_r \rangle^\perp = \langle L_1, \dots, L_{n-r} \rangle \subset T_1$$

and notice that $(f^\perp)_1 \supseteq \langle L_1, \dots, L_{n-r} \rangle$. Thus, by Lemma 2, we have $\text{rk}(\mathcal{C}_f) \leq N_{\text{ess}}(f)$.

If $\text{rk}(\mathcal{C}_f) = t$, then $(f^\perp)_1 = \langle D_1, \dots, D_{n-t} \rangle$. Complete this to a basis of T_1

$$\langle D_1, \dots, D_{n-t}, Y_1, \dots, Y_t \rangle$$

and consider the dual basis of S_1 defined by the apolarity perfect pairing

$$\langle z_1, \dots, z_{n-t}, y_1, \dots, y_t \rangle.$$

Hence, after a linear change of variables, we have $f = f(z_1, \dots, z_{n-t}, y_1, \dots, y_t)$. But D_j annihilates all the elements of the chosen basis of S_1 but z_j . As $(f^\perp)_1 = \langle D_1, \dots, D_{n-t} \rangle$ we conclude that

$$f \in k[y_1, \dots, y_t]$$

and $\text{rk}(\mathcal{C}_f) \geq N_{\text{ess}}(f)$.

To conclude the proof, notice that the perfect pairing $S_1 \times T_1 \rightarrow k$ induces a well defined perfect pairing of k -vector spaces

$$V \times \left(\frac{T}{f^\perp} \right)_1 \longrightarrow k$$

where

$$V = ((f^\perp)_1)^\perp = \langle l : l \in S_1, L \circ l = 0 \text{ for all } L \in (f^\perp)_1 \rangle$$

and, with the notations above, $\left(\frac{T}{f^\perp} \right)_1 = \langle Y_1, \dots, Y_t \rangle$ and hence $V = \text{EssVar}(f)$. The result follows applying Lemma 1 ($i = 1$ case) which yields

$$V = \langle D' \circ f : D' \in T_{d-1} \rangle.$$

Example 3. Given the form

$$f = x_1^3 + x_1^2 x_2 - 2x_1^2 x_3 - 2x_1 x_2 x_3 + x_1 x_3^2 + x_2 x_3^2$$

we want to determine $N_{\text{ess}}(f)$ and $\text{EssVar}(f)$. In order to apply Proposition 1, we compute the first catalecticant matrix of f

$$\mathcal{C}_f = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 & -4 & 0 & -2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -2 & -2 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence $N_{\text{ess}}(f) = \text{rk}(\mathcal{C}_f) = 2$ and f can be presented as a form in two variables. To determine the essential variables of f , it is enough to compute the span of the second partial derivatives of f :

$$\text{EssVar}(f) = \langle x_2 + x_3, x_1 - x_3 \rangle.$$

Summing these up, we see that there exists a degree 3 form $g(y_1, y_2) \in k[y_1, y_2]$ such that

$$g(x_2 + x_3, x_1 - x_3) = f(x_1, x_2, x_3),$$

but how can we find g ?

To complete our analysis, we want to present a form f as a polynomial only involving essential variables: this can be done almost tautologically, but the notation are quite involved. We begin with an example.

Example 4. Consider the form $f \in S = k[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ in Example 3. We already showed that there exists $g \in k[y_1, y_2] \subset S$ such that $f = g$. To determine $g(y_1, y_2)$, consider $\text{EssVar}(f) = \langle x_2 + x_3, x_1 - x_3 \rangle$ and complete its basis to a basis of S_1 : we choose $\{y_1 = x_2 + x_3, y_2 = x_1 - x_3, z_1 = x_1\}$. Hence we have a linear change of variables given by

$$\begin{cases} x_1 = z_1, \\ x_2 = y_1 + y_2 - z_1, \\ x_3 = z_1 - y_2. \end{cases}$$

The basic requirement of the form $g(y_1, y_2)$ is to satisfy the relation

$$g(x_2 + x_3, x_1 - x_3) = f(x_1, x_2, x_3).$$

From this, changing variables, we get

$$g(y_1, y_2) = f(z_1, y_1 + y_2 - z_1, z_1 - y_2) = y_1 y_2^2 + y_2^3,$$

which is the desired presentation in essential variables. As a byproduct, we readily see that

$$f = (x_2 + x_3)(x_1 - x_3)^2 + (x_1 - x_3)^3$$

which is quite surprising considering the original presentation

$$f = x_1^3 + x_1^2 x_2 - 2x_1^2 x_3 - 2x_1 x_2 x_3 + x_1 x_3^2 + x_2 x_3^2.$$

The procedure showed in the previous Example works in general. Given a form $f = f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in S$, we compute $N_{\text{ess}}(f) = r$ and we choose a basis for $N_{\text{ess}}(f) = \langle y_1, \dots, y_r \rangle$; to avoid triviality, assume $r < n$. Now, our goal is to determine $g = g(y_1, \dots, y_r) \in k[y_1, \dots, y_r] \subset S$ such that $f = g$. To do this, complete the basis of $N_{\text{ess}}(f) \subset S_1$ to a basis of S_1

$$S_1 = \langle y_1, \dots, y_r, z_1, \dots, z_{n-r} \rangle.$$

As $S_1 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$, the completed basis yields a linear change of variables

$$(\dagger) \begin{cases} x_1 = x_1(y_1, \dots, y_r, z_1, \dots, z_{n-r}), \\ \vdots \\ x_n = x_n(y_1, \dots, y_r, z_1, \dots, z_{n-r}). \end{cases}$$

Notice that $y_1, \dots, y_r$ are linear forms in S and hence there exist linear functions such that $y_i = y_i(x_1, \dots, x_r)$, $i = 1, \dots, r$. Moreover, the following identities hold by construction of $(\dagger)$

$$y_i = y_i(x_1(y_1, \dots, y_r, z_1, \dots, z_{n-r}), \dots, x_r(y_1, \dots, y_r, z_1, \dots, z_{n-r}))$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

To determine g , it is enough to consider the desired relation

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = g(y_1(x_1, \dots, x_r), \dots, y_r(x_1, \dots, x_r)).$$

and to apply the linear change of variables $(\dagger)$. Thus we obtain $g(y_1, \dots, y_r)$:

$$\begin{aligned} g(y_1, \dots, y_r) &= \\ &= g(y_1(x_1(y_1, \dots, y_r, z_1, \dots, z_{n-r}), \dots, x_r(y_1, \dots, y_r, z_1, \dots, z_{n-r})), \dots, \\ &\quad \dots, y_r(x_1(y_1, \dots, y_r, z_1, \dots, z_{n-r}), \dots, x_r(y_1, \dots, y_r, z_1, \dots, z_{n-r}))) = \\ &= f(x_1(y_1, \dots, y_r, z_1, \dots, z_{n-r}), \dots, x_n(y_1, \dots, y_r, z_1, \dots, z_{n-r})). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that, as f and the functions $x_i(y_1, \dots, y_r, z_1, \dots, z_{n-r})$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, are *explicitly* known, we have completely determined g as an element in $k[y_1, \dots, y_r]$.

Remark 4. As a straightforward application of the theory, we consider the detection of cylinders (i.e. algebraic surfaces ruled by a family of parallel lines moving along a fixed curve). Suppose you are given the polynomial equation of a surface $\mathcal{F} : f(x, y, z) = 0$ in three space and you want to decide whether $\mathcal{F}$ is a cylinder or not. It is well known that $\mathcal{F}$ is a cylinder if and only if its defining equation is a function of two planes, i.e. there exist linear forms $m(x, y, z)$ and $l(x, y, z)$ such that $f(x, y, z) = g(m, l)$ for some polynomial g . Hence, we readily have an effective procedure for cylinder detection:

$$\mathcal{F} \text{ is a cylinder if and only if } N_{\text{ess}}(f^h) \leq 3,$$

where f^h denotes the homogenization of f (see Example 7). Clearly, the method applies in any dimension for deciding whether a given hypersurface is a cylinder or not.

4.1 Using a computer

The results of our analysis can be easily translated into algorithms and we wrote down procedures to be used with the Computer Algebra system **CoCoA**.

We begin with reporting a **CoCoA** session illustrating the use of our algorithms to work out the expository Examples 3 and 4.

Example 5. First we define the form we want to study

$$F := x^3 + x^2y - 2x^2z - 2xyz + xz^2 + yz^2;$$

To compute the number of essential variables, use the function `NEssVar(F)`:

```
NEssVar(F);
2
-----
```

To determine a choice of essential variables, use the function `EssVar(F)`:

```
EssVar(F);
[y + z, x - z]
-----
```

Finally, `NewPres(F)` produces a presentation of the form involving the essential variables $y[1] = y + z, y[2] = x - z$:

```
NewPres(F);
y[1]y[2]^2 + y[2]^3
-----
```

Usually, a given polynomial $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ will essentially involve n variables, i.e. $N_{\text{ess}}(f) = n$. Hence our algorithms *do not* help in solving the polynomial equation $f = 0$. Nevertheless, our procedure should be used as a pre-processing tool. In fact, *if* the number of variables can be decreased, then the numerical solution of the equation can be performed much more efficiently. We illustrate this with the following “extreme” example.

Example 6. We consider the degree three polynomial in four variables

$$f(x, y, z, t) = f_0(x, y, z, t) + f_1(x, y, z, t) + f_2(x, y, z, t) + f_3(x, y, z, t),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f_0 &= 3 \\ f_1 &= -x - y + 2z + 3t \\ f_2 &= 5x^2 + 10xy + 5y^2 - 20xz - 20yz + 20z^2 - 30xt - 30yt + 60zt + 45t^2 \\ f_3 &= x^3 + 3x^2y + 3xy^2 + y^3 - 6x^2z - 12xyz - 6y^2z + 12xz^2 + 12yz^2 + \\ &\quad - 8z^3 - 9x^2t - 18xyt - 9y^2t + 36xzt + 36yzt - 36z^2t + 27xt^2 + 27yt^2 + \\ &\quad - 54zt^2 - 27t^3. \end{aligned}$$

In order to solve the equation $f(x, y, z, t) = 0$, we apply our algorithms to the degree 2 and 3 pieces of f :

```

EssVar(F2);
[x + y - 2z - 3t]
-----

NewPres(F2);
5y[1]^2
-----

```

and hence $f_2(x, y, z, t) = 5y_1^2$, where $y_1 = x + y - 2z - 3t$. Similarly

```

EssVar(F3);
[x + y - 2z - 3t]
-----

NewPres(F3);
y[1]^3
-----

```

which yields $f_3(x, y, z, t) = y_1^3$. In conclusion, to solve the equation $f(x, y, z, t) = 0$, it is enough to solve the equation in one variable

$$y_1^3 + 5y_1^2 - y_1 + 3 = 0$$

and to apply some linear algebra to find all the solutions.

We conclude with a Geometric example about cylinder detection.

Example 7. Consider the degree five surface in three space $\mathcal{F} : f(x, y, z) = 0$, where

$$f = f_0 + f_2 + f_5$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_0 &= -1, f_2 = x^2 - xy - 2y^2 - 3yz - z^2, \\
 f_5 &= x^5 + 2x^4y - 2x^3y^2 - 8x^2y^3 - 7xy^4 - 2y^5 + 3x^4z - 18x^2y^2z - 24xy^3z + \\
 &\quad - 9y^4z + 2x^3z^2 - 12x^2yz^2 - 30xy^2z^2 - 16y^3z^2 - 2x^2z^3 - 16xyz^3 + \\
 &\quad - 14y^2z^3 - 3xz^4 - 6yz^4 - z^5.
 \end{aligned}$$

In order to decide whether $\mathcal{F}$ is a cylinder or not, we follow Remark 4. Introduce a new variable t and consider the homogenization of f , $f^h = t^5 f_0 + t^3 f_2 + f_5$. Using **CoCoA** and denoting by **FH** the form $f^h(x, y, z, t)$, we get:

```

NEssVar(FH);
3
-----

EssVar(FH);
[t, y + 2/3z, x + 1/3z]
-----

NewPres(FH);
-y[1]^5 - 2y[1]^3y[2]^2 - 2y[2]^5 - y[1]^3y[2]y[3] -
7y[2]^4y[3] + y[1]^3y[3]^2 - 8y[2]^3y[3]^2 - 2y[2]^2y[3]^3 +
2y[2]y[3]^4 + y[3]^5
-----

```

In conclusion, $f^h(x, y, z, t) = g(y_1, y_2, y_3)$ where g is the output of the function `NewPres(FH)` and

$$\begin{cases} y_1 = t \\ y_2 = y + \frac{2}{3}z \\ y_3 = x + \frac{1}{3}z \end{cases}.$$

Hence, we have the polynomial equality $f(x, y, z) = g(1, y_2, y_3)$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is a cylinder ruled by lines parallel to the line $y_2 = y_3 = 0$.

References

- BCS97. P. Bürgisser, M. Clausen, and M.A. Shokrollahi. *Algebraic Complexity Theory*, volume 315 of *Grund. der Math. Wiss.* Springer, Berlin, 1997.
- Cil01. Ciro Ciliberto. Geometric aspects of polynomial interpolation in more variables and of Waring’s problem. In *European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. I (Barcelona, 2000)*, volume 201 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 289–316. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001.
- CM96. P. Comon and B. Mourrain. Decomposition of quantics in sums of power of linear forms. *Signal Processing*, 53(2):93–107, 1996. Special issue on High-Order Statistics.
- CoC04. CoCoATeam. CoCoA: a system for doing Computations in Commutative Algebra. Available at <http://cocoa.dima.unige.it>, 2004.
- Ger96. Anthony V. Geramita. Inverse systems of fat points: Waring’s problem, secant varieties of Veronese varieties and parameter spaces for Gorenstein ideals. In *The Curves Seminar at Queen’s, Vol. X (Kingston, ON, 1995)*, volume 102 of *Queen’s Papers in Pure and Appl. Math.*, pages 2–114. Queen’s Univ., Kingston, ON, 1996.
- Ger99. Anthony V. Geramita. Catalecticant varieties. In *Commutative algebra and algebraic geometry (Ferrara)*, volume 206 of *Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math.*, pages 143–156. Dekker, New York, 1999.
- IK99. Anthony Iarrobino and Vassil Kanev. *Power sums, Gorenstein algebras, and determinantal loci*, volume 1721 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- MQ02. Robert I. McLachlan and G. Reinout W. Quispel. Splitting methods. *Acta Numer.*, 11:341–434, 2002.
- Rom92. S. Roman. *Coding and Information Theory*. Springer, New York, 1992.